the amendment to Amendment No. 24, or the assumption that it is adopted, I would suggest to you that the only proper amendment would be by way of additional to other language. If Amendment No. 24 is rejected, then until we have acted with respect to R&P-2, you could have an amendment to propose another section. Whether it would be in order, I cannot say without seeing it.

Does that answer your question?

DELEGATE JOHNSON: Yes, that was my next inquiry.

THE CHAIRMAN: The question now arises on the adoption of Amendment No. 24. The Clerk will ring the quorum bell, please.

The question arises on the adoption of Amendment No. 24 to Committee Recommendation R&P-2.

A vote Aye is in favor of Amendment No. 24. A vote No is a vote against.

Cast your votes.

Has every delegate voted? Does any delegate desire to change his vote?

(There was no response.)

The Clerk will record the vote.

There being 58 votes in the affirmative, and 63 in the negative, the motion is lost. The amendment is rejected.

The Chair would like to —

For what purpose does Delegate Storm rise?

DELEGATE STORM: Mr. Chairman, I wanted to ask if it would be possible to come back to this in a few minutes, as soon as I have a little written proposal that I hope will put these two conflicting points of view together for the benefit of a good provision.

THE CHAIRMAN: If you can do it in a very few minutes, Delegate Storm, I think it might be possible. Otherwise I do not think it will be for this reason: The Chair, as all of you know, and I am sure this feeling is shared by every delegate, is very anxious to conclude consideration of this recommendation so that we can take up and conclude today the consideration of the Committee Recommendation GP-6, dealing with education. It will be possible to do so provided we dispose of Committee Recommendation R&P-2 very promptly.

At the same time, the Chair is concerned as I am sure the other delegates were, by

feelings of uncertainty as to certain sections which were acted upon either by deletion or amendment. Several delegates have indicated to the Chair a desire to move for reconsideration of the action of the Committee of the Whole with respect to some of those sections, others have indicated grave doubt that the Committee was sufficiently informed on certain aspects, at least, they desire that there not be final action.

It is imperative that we take action on as much as possible of Committee Recommendation, R&P-2. Therefore, the Chair proposes that we consider one other amendment which has been offered, and which deals, once again, with the right of removal of civil cases in the hope that this can be speedily disposed of, and then to suggest that the Committee of the Whole rise, and report its action with respect to all of Committee Recommendation R&P-2, except with respect to sections 10, 11, and 13. These are the sections dealing with juries judging law, as well as fact, no imprisonment for debt, and the grand jury indictment. This would leave those three sections open for further consideration next Tuesday, and would permit those interested in the question to conclude such research as they desire to make.

This would also permit the Committee on Style in the recess of the next few days to consider and perhaps report on the action of the Committee of the Whole with respect to the balance of Committee Recommendation R&P-2.

Delegate Hardwicke, you have sent up an amendment dealing with slavery and involuntary servitude which the Chair would regard as germane to the subject matter of section 13, and would be disposed to permit that section to be permitted next week.

Under those circumstances, would you be consent to present your amendment at that time?

DELEGATE HARDWICKE: Mr. Chairman, I am somewhat tempted to withdraw it.

THE CHAIRMAN: You do not have to present it. You have the opportunity to present it at that time.

DELEGATE HARDWICKE: Very well.

THE CHAIRMAN: That being the situation —

For what purpose does Delegate Boileau rise?

DELEGATE BOILEAU: A parliamentary inquiry.